

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,120	02/14/2002	Pietro Padovani	B-4501 619522-7	2901
7	590 11/10/2003		EXAMINER	
Richard P. Berg, Esq. c/o LADAS & PARRY Suite 2100			KRIZEK, JANICE LEE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5670 Wilshire Boulevard			3652	
Los Angeles, CA 90036-5679			DATE MAILED: 11/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		SW					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	10/077,120	PADOVANI, PIETRO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Janice L. Krizek	3652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE _3_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	April 2003 and 18 August 2003 .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-3, in Paper No. 13 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no undue burden upon the Examiner would result from the examination of all claims. This is not found persuasive because an examination of the non-elected claims 4-15 would necessitate an additional search of class 414, subclasses 758 and 783.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. Claims 4-15 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 13.
- 3. The substitute specification filed April 21, 2003 has not been entered because it does not conform to 37 CFR 1.125(b) and (c) because: a statement as to a lack of new matter under 37 CFR 1.125(b) is missing and a clean copy of the substitute specification has not been supplied (in addition to the marked-up copy provided with the Amendment filed April 21, 2003).
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 In line 16 of claim 1, "a transfer" should be --their transfer--;
 in line 20 of claim 1, "where" should be --wherein--. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/077,120 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitation "thermoformed objects each formed with at least three stacking protrusions or spacers, having same space arrangement in each thermoformed object", found in lines 4-5 of claim 1, is unclear with respect to the structure and arrangement of the formations formed on the objects.

The recitation "a same thermoformed object being located in a non specular symmetric way with respect to at least a centre line of the respective thermoformed object and at a distance from the same centre line different from that of the other protrusions or spacers", found in lines 7-10 of claim 1, is unclear with respect to the way in which the one claimed object is located relative to the other claimed object.

The recitation "arranging one of said thermoformed objects... to keep them in order according to the space arrangement", found in lines 11-13 of claim 1, is unclear with respect to how the claimed thermoformed object is arranged.

- 7. Claims 1-3 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 8. The cited prior art shows processes of stacking objects.

Art Unit: 3652

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Janice Krizek whose telephone number is (703) 308-2026. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 11:30 a.m. to 8:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis, can be reached at (703) 308-3248. The central official fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Tanucch, Krujek 11-3-03 Janice L. Krizek

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3600